
SOUTH WEST REGIONAL SPATIAL STRATEGY REVISION

EXAMINATION IN PUBLIC

Draft Provisional Programme

Proposed Timetable, Preliminary List of Matters and Participants

Please note: The **deadline** for the submission of comments on the Preliminary List of Matters and Participants is

5.00 pm, Friday, 15 December 2006

All other dates are provisional.

Panel Secretariat Office
South West RSS EiP
2 Rivergate
Temple Quay
Bristol BS1 6EH

Panel Secretariat Office
General email: enquiries@southwesteip.co.uk
Telephone enquires: 0117 900 1814
Statements email: statements@southwesteip.co.uk
Website: www.southwesteip.co.uk

EiP Dates and Examination in Public Sessions 2007

Background Information & Purpose of the Examination in Public

Proposed Timetable, Preliminary List of Matters & Participants

Page 1

5

6

November 2006

EiP Week 1 (Tuesday 17 April to Friday 20 April)	
Day 1	Tuesday 17 April
Day 1.1 am	<i>Chairman's Opening Statement & RPB Opening Statement</i>
Day 1.2 am	<i>1/1 Sustainability appraisal – soundness / role</i>
Day 1.3 pm	<i>1/2 Appropriate assessment</i>
Day 1.4 pm	<i>1/2 Appropriate assessment</i>
Day 2	Wednesday 18 April
Day 2.1 am	<i>1/3 Climate change</i>
Day 2.2 am	<i>1/3 Climate change</i>
Day 2.3 pm	<i>2/1 Level of economic change</i>
Day 2.4 pm	<i>2/1 Level of economic change</i>
Day 3	Thursday 19 April
Day 3.1 am	<i>2/2 Regional population implications</i>
Day 3.2 am	<i>2/2 Regional population implications</i>
Day 3.3 pm	<i>2/3 Overall level of housing</i>
Day 3.4 pm	<i>2/3 Overall level of housing</i>
Day 4	Friday 20 April
Day 4.1 am	<i>2/4 Housing market implications</i>
Day 4.2 am	<i>2/4 Housing market implications</i>
EiP Week 2 (Tuesday 24 April to Friday 27 April)	
Day 5	Tuesday 24 April
Day 5.1 am	<i>2/4 Housing market implications</i>
Day 5.2 am	<i>2/4 Housing market implications</i>
Day 5.3 pm	<i>3/1 Strategy approach - Areas</i>
Day 5.4 pm	<i>3/1 Strategy approach - Areas</i>
Day 6	Wednesday 25 April
Day 6.1 am	<i>3/2 Strategy approach - SSCTs and other settlements</i>
Day 6.2 am	<i>3/2 Strategy approach - SSCTs and other settlements</i>

Day 6.3 pm	<i>3/3 Strategy approach - Rural areas</i>
Day 6.4 pm	<i>3/3 Strategy approach - Rural areas</i>
Day 7	Thursday 26 April
Day 7.1 am	<i>3/4 Reuse of previously developed land and buildings</i>
Day 7.2 am	<i>3/4 Reuse of previously developed land and buildings</i>
Day 7.3 pm	<i>3/5 Guidance on the systematic approach to the selection of strategic greenfield development areas</i>
Day 7.4 pm	<i>3/5 Guidance on the systematic approach to the selection of strategic greenfield development areas</i>
Day 8	Friday 27 April
Day 8.1 am	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 8.2 am	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
EiP Week 3 (Tuesday 1 May to Thursday 3 May)	
Day 9	Tuesday 1 May
Day 9.1 am	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 9.2 am	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 9.3 pm	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 9.4 pm	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 10	Wednesday 2 May
Day 10.1 am	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 10.2 am	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>

	<i>Strategy</i>
Day 10.3 pm	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 10.4 pm	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 11	Thursday 3 May
Day 11.1 am	<i>4/2 Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 11.2 am	<i>4/2 Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 11.3 pm	<i>4/2 Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 11.4 pm	<i>4/2 Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day A	Friday 4 May
Day A.1 am	<i>Keep Free</i>
Day A.2 am	<i>Keep Free</i>
EiP Panel not sitting early spring holiday week 19	
EiP Week 4 (Tuesday 15 May to Friday 18 May)	
Day 12	Tuesday 15 May
Day 12.1 am	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 12.2 am	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 12.3 pm	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 12.4 pm	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 13	Wednesday 16 May
Day 13.1 am	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 13.2 am	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 13.3 pm	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 13.4 pm	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>

Day 14	Thursday 17 May
Day 14.1 am	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 14.2 am	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 14.3 pm	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 14.4 pm	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 15	Friday 18 May
Day 15.1 am	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 15.2 am	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
EiP Week 5 (Tuesday 22 May to Friday 25 May)	
Day 16	Tuesday 22 May
Day 16.1 am	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 16.2 am	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 16.3 pm	<i>4/5 Torbay Unitary Authority HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 16.4 pm	<i>4/5 Torbay Unitary Authority HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 17	Wednesday 23 May
Day 17.1 am	<i>4/6 Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 17.2 am	<i>4/6 Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 17.3 pm	<i>4/6 Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 17.4 pm	<i>4/6 Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 18	Thursday 24 May
Day 18.1 am	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 18.2 am	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 18.3 pm	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 18.4 pm	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 19	Friday 25 May
Day 19.1 am	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>

Day 19.2 am	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
EiP Panel not sitting spring holiday week 22	
EiP Week 6 (Tuesday 5 June to Friday 8 June)	
Day 20	Tuesday 5 June
Day 20.1 am	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 20.2 am	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 20.3 pm	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 20.4 pm	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 21	Wednesday 6 June
Day 21.1 am	<i>4/8 Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 21.2 am	<i>4/8 Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 21.3 pm	<i>4/8 Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 21.4 pm	<i>4/8 Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 22	Thursday 7 June
Day 22.1 am	<i>4/8 Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 22.2 am	<i>4/8 Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 22.3 pm	<i>4/9 West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 22.4 pm	<i>4/9 West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 23	Friday 8 June
Day 23.1 am	<i>4/9 West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 23.2 am	<i>4/9 West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
EiP Week 7 (Tuesday 12 June to Friday 15 June)	
Day 24	Tuesday 12 June

Day 24.1 am	<i>4/10 Polycentric Devon and Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 24.2 am	<i>4/10 Polycentric Devon and Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 24.3 pm	<i>4/11 Salisbury District HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 24.4 pm	<i>4/11 Salisbury District HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 25	Wednesday 13 June
Day 25.1 am	<i>4/12 Weymouth and Dorchester HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 25.2 am	<i>4/12 Weymouth and Dorchester HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 25.3 pm	<i>4/13 South Somerset District HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 25.4 pm	<i>4/13 South Somerset District HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 26	Thursday 14 June
Day 26.1 am	<i>4/14 Reconciling overall demand and sub-regional capacity</i>
Day 26.2 am	<i>4/14 Reconciling overall demand and sub-regional capacity</i>
Day 26.3 pm	<i>4/14 Reconciling overall demand and sub-regional capacity</i>
Day 26.4 pm	<i>4/14 Reconciling overall demand and sub-regional capacity</i>
Day B	Friday 15 June
Day B.1 am	<i>Keep Free</i>
Day B.2 am	<i>Keep Free</i>
EiP Week 8 (Tuesday 19 June to Friday 22 June)	
Day 27	Tuesday 19 June
Day 27.1 am	<i>5/1 Management of the transport network</i>
Day 27.2 am	<i>5/1 Management of the transport network</i>

Day 27.3 pm	<i>5/2 Improvements to the transport network</i>
Day 27.4 pm	<i>5/2 Improvements to the transport network</i>
Day 29	Wednesday 20 June
Day 28.1 am	<i>5/2 Improvements to the transport network</i>
Day 28.2 am	<i>5/2 Improvements to the transport network</i>
Day 28.3 pm	<i>6/1 Affordable housing</i>
Day 28.4 pm	<i>6/1 Affordable housing</i>
Day 30	Thursday 21 June
Day 29.1 am	<i>6/2 Housing density</i>
Day 29.2 am	<i>6/2 Housing density</i>
Day 29.3 pm	<i>7/1 Flood risk</i>
Day 29.4 pm	<i>7/1 Flood risk</i>
Day 31	Friday 22 June
Day 30.1 am	<i>7/2 Energy & renewable targets</i>
Day 30.2 am	<i>7/2 Energy & renewable targets</i>
EiP Week 9 (Tuesday 26 June to Friday 29 June)	
Day 32	Tuesday 26 June
Day 31.1 am	<i>7/3 Waste management</i>
Day 31.2 am	<i>7/3 Waste management</i>
Day 31.3 pm	<i>8/1 Employment development requirements</i>
Day 31.4 pm	<i>8/1 Employment development requirements</i>
Day 33	Wednesday 27 June
Day 32.1 am	<i>8/1 Employment development requirements</i>
Day 32.2 am	<i>8/1 Employment development requirements</i>
Day 32.3 pm	<i>8/2 Retailing and city and town centres</i>

Day 32.4 pm	<i>8/2 Retailing and city and town centres</i>
Day 34	Thursday 28 June
Day 33.1 am	<i>9/1 Delivery and infrastructure</i>
Day 33.2 am	<i>9/1 Delivery and infrastructure</i>
Day 33.3 pm	<i>9/1 Delivery and infrastructure</i>
Day 33.4 pm	<i>9/1 Delivery and infrastructure</i>
Day 35	Friday 29 June
Day 34.1 am	<i>9/2 Implementation and monitoring</i>
Day 34.2 am	<i>9/2 Implementation and monitoring</i>
	<i>Chairman's Closing Statement</i>
	<i>Close of EiP</i>

Background Information

- 1.1 The Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) for the South West is being revised by the South West Regional Assembly (SWRA) which is the Regional Planning Body (RPB) for the South West.
- 1.2 The Deposit Draft (Draft RSS for the South West) of the revised RSS was published for consultation on Tuesday 6 June 2006.
- 1.3 The Secretary of State has decided that an Examination in Public (EiP) should be held to discuss and test the Draft revision to the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) for the South West. The EiP is to be held by an independent Panel appointed by the Secretary of State. Jim Parke has been appointed as the Panel Chairman, assisted by Rhys Davies as Panel Member and David Lavender as Panel Inspector.
- 1.4 Further information on the EiP process is available in the **Notes for Participants** prepared to assist people who have been invited to participate in, or who wish to observe, the EiP and in PPS11. The Notes for Participants and other relevant information are available on the EiP website:

www.southwesteip.co.uk
- 1.5 The deadline for the submission of comments on the Preliminary List of Matters and Participants is 5.00 pm, Friday, 15 December 2006.
- 1.6 The Examination in Public will commence on Tuesday 17 April 2007 at 10.00 m and is anticipated to run for 9 weeks. It will be conducted in the Thistle Hotel, Exeter.

Purpose of the Examination in Public

- 2.1 The main purpose of the EiP is to provide an opportunity for a structured discussion and testing in public, of the Deposit Draft before an independent Panel appointed by the Secretary of State. The discussion will be guided by a selection of matters arising from consultation on the Deposit Draft revision and what the Panel considers it needs to hear

debated in order to test the soundness of the revision. This will provide the main basis on which the Secretary of State can decide whether any changes are required to the revision before it is adopted.

- 2.2 The broad questions selected by the Panel in consultation with the Government Office for the South West (GO-SW) and the SWRA are set out in the Proposed Timetable, Preliminary List of Matters and Participants. They are designed to stimulate discussion about the main elements of the consultation on the Deposit Draft. Potential Participants have been selected in consultation with the GO-SW and SWRA to ensure a broad mix of viewpoints on each Matter for discussion. In preparing written statements, and in discussion, participants will be asked to focus on the wording of the Deposit Draft and whether or not changes are required as well as the underlying issues.
- 2.3 The Examination is not a review of all the proposals contained in the Deposit Draft, nor is it a hearing of all objections. It will, however, ensure that there is public discussion of those major issues of concern where the Panel considers that an examination could usefully provide further information. As the EiP is directed at the discussion of selected matters, and not the hearing of all representations, individual objectors do not have an automatic right to appear. The Panel will consider all written representations relating to the matters being examined.
- 2.4 In preparing its report following the Examination the Panel will include recommendations for amending the Deposit Draft. When completed the Panel Report will be published. The Panel Report, together with all the other consultation responses, must be taken into account when the Secretary of State decides whether any changes need to be made to the Deposit Draft. The Secretary of State will publish any proposed changes in the light of the report allowing at least eight weeks for comment.

Proposed Timetable, Preliminary List of Matters and Participants

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	Potential Participants
EiP Week 1				
Tuesday 17 April Day 1				
	10.00 - 10.15		Chairman's Opening Statement. To open the EiP and welcome participants and those wishing to observe the proceedings and outline the various aspects for conducting the EiP.	
	10.15 - 10.30		Regional Planning Body (RPB) Opening Statement. To focus on the process of preparing the RSS draft revision and the overall approach to preparing the Submitted Draft document. To confirm that all the procedural matters have been dealt with.	
		1	A Sustainable Future	
			<i>Section 1</i> <i>SD1 The Ecological Footprint</i> <i>SD2 Climate Change</i> <i>SD3 The Environment and Natural Resources</i> <i>SD4 Sustainable Communities</i>	
	11.00 – 13 00	1/1	Sustainability appraisal - soundness/role To what extent can the Strategic Sustainability Assessment process be regarded as sound and in particular: a) To what extent has the draft RSS been informed by the Strategic Sustainability Assessment? and b) Does the Sustainability Assessment give adequate coverage to a realistic range of options?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041) 5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041) 6. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042) 7. South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043) 8. Confederation of British Industry South West (379) 9. Home Builders Federation (518) 10. Natural England (589) 11. Environment Agency (409)

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
				<p>12. <i>English Heritage (491)</i> 13. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 14. <i>National Trust (515)</i> 15. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 16. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 17. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 18. <i>SW Regional Environment Network (489)</i> 19. <i>South West Wildlife Trusts (494)</i> 20. <i>Town and Country Planning Association (509)</i> 21. <i>Talbot Village Trust (824) Nathaniel Lichfield</i></p>
	14.00 - 15.15	1/2	<p><i>Appropriate assessment</i></p> <p>In the light of the decision that the Habitats Regulations apply to Strategic Plans:</p> <p>a) How can the draft RSS adequately demonstrate that the policies and proposals will not threaten the integrity of the sites covered by Regulation 48 of the Habitats Regulations? and</p> <p>b) To what extent can particular impacts be made acceptable by mitigation measures?</p>	<p>1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 8. <i>Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</i> 9. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 10. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 11. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 12. <i>English Heritage (491)</i> 13. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 14. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 15. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 16. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 17. <i>South West Wildlife Trusts (494)</i> 18. <i>Town and Country Planning Association (509)</i> 19. <i>SW Regional Environment Network (489)</i> 20. <i>New Forest National Park Authority (155)</i></p>
	15.45 - 17.00	1/2	<i>Appropriate assessment (continued)</i>	

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
Wednesday 18 April Day 2				
	10.00 - 11.15	1/3	<p>Climate change</p> <p>Does the RSS adequately set out how the spatial strategy and its proposals have reflected issues arising from climate change during the lifetime of the plan and in particular:</p> <p>a) What are the spatial consequences and actions that will be relevant to this issue?</p> <p>b) Are the ways in which the Climate Change objectives will be achieved sufficiently clear in terms of mitigation and adaptation? and</p> <p>c) Are the other draft RSS policies as supportive as they should be?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041) 5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041) 6. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042) 7. South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043) 8. Confederation of British Industry South West (379) 9. Home Builders Federation (518) 10. Natural England (589) 11. Environment Agency (409) 12. Highways Agency (447) 13. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 14. Friends of the Earth (422) 15. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403) 16. South West Wildlife Trusts (494) 17. Town and Country Planning Association (509) 18. SW Regional Airport Operators (410) Entec UK Ltd 19. SW Regional Environment Network (489) 20. SW Climate Change Impacts Partnership (430) 21. Justin Milward (951)
	11.45 – 13.00	1/3	Climate change (continued)	
		2	The Context	
			<p>Section 2</p> <p><i>Spatial Context for Change for the South West Expected Economic and Population Change</i></p>	
	14.00 - 15.15	2/1	<p>Level of economic change</p> <p>In setting out the economic context for the strategy, does the draft RSS adequately demonstrate that:</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26	Times	Number	Matters	<i>Potential Participants</i>
9 Weeks 2007			<i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	
			a) The alignment between the RSS and the RES is sufficiently close? b) The RES growth assumptions are sufficiently robust in view of the potential implications of long-term oil supplies and climate change? c) The scale, type and distribution of economic growth and job growth is sufficiently justified in the RSS? and d) The economic prospects of the rural areas have been adequately taken into account?	5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) 1042</i>) 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 8. <i>Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</i> 9. <i>Business West (499)</i> 10. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 11. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 12. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 13. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 14. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 15. <i>Royal Town Planning Institute (382)</i> 16. <i>Town and Country Planning Association (509)</i> 17. <i>National Housing Federation and others (484) Tetlow King Planning</i> 18. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 19. <i>Hallam Land Management Ltd (531) DPL Roland Bolton</i> 20. <i>J S Bloor (Newbury) Ltd (576) Terence O'Rourke</i> 21. <i>SW Regional Environment Network (489)</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	2/1	<i>Level of economic change (continued)</i>	
Thursday 19 April				
Day 3				
	10.00 - 11.15	2/2	<i>Regional population implications</i> In setting out the expected level of population change, does the draft RSS demonstrate that: a) The implications of the economic forecasts have been adequately reflected in the population forecasts in terms of the likely composition of the future population? b) The implications of the different migration streams, such as the retirement flows to rural areas, have been adequately reflected in the population forecasts? and c) The consequences of an ageing population have been	1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) 1041</i>) 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 8. <i>Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</i> 9. <i>Business West (499)</i> 10. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 11. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 12. <i>National Trust (515)</i>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			sufficiently taken into account?	13. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 14. Friends of the Earth (422) 15. Royal Town Planning Institute (382) 16. Town and Country Planning Association (509) 17. National Housing Federation and others (484) Tetlow King Planning 18. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) 19. Hallam Land Management Ltd (531) DPL Roland Bolton 20. J S Bloor (Newbury) Ltd (576) Terence O'Rourke 21. SW Regional Environment Network (489)
	11.45 – 13.00	2/2	<i>Regional population implications (continued)</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	2/3	<p><i>Overall level of housing</i></p> <p>As the draft RSS has apparently under-provided for required dwellings against the 'technical case':</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Is adequate evidence available to the EiP to understand the consequences of higher household projections and to enable such consequences to be identified? b) Is the methodology used to derive the overall level of housing sufficiently "sound", clear and comprehensive? c) To what extent does the overall housing requirement reflect the economic and population forecasts? d) What implications should be drawn from the 2003 based Household Forecasts? e) Should the draft RSS consider measures to reduce the demand for housing? f) Has the RSS responded appropriately in identifying the needs of different groups, such as an ageing population? g) Has the RSS adequately set out the spatial implications of 	1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041) 5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041) 6. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042) 7. South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043) 8. Confederation of British Industry South West (379) 9. Home Builders Federation (518) 10. Natural England (589) 11. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 12. Friends of the Earth (422) 13. Town and Country Planning Association (509) 14. Hallam Land Management Ltd (531) DPL Roland Bolton 15. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) 16. Boyer Planning (459) 17. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) 18. National Housing Federation and others (484) Tetlow King Planning

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			these needs? h) What are the implications of over or under provision? and i) To what extent should the overall level of provision be influenced by the shortage of affordable housing?	19. <i>SW Chamber of Rural Enterprise & SW Rural Affairs Forum (739)</i> 20. <i>Shelter (775)</i> 21. <i>Cotswold Conservation Board (880)</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	2/3	<i>Overall level of housing (continued)</i>	
Friday 20 April Day 4				
	10.00 - 11.15	2/4	<i>Housing market implications</i> To what extent does the distribution of the overall level of housing provision to Housing Market Areas: a) Strike an appropriate jobs/housing balance? b) Adequately reflect migration preferences? c) Reflect environmental limits within the HMA? and d) Reflect the distribution within the 2003 based Household Projections?	1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 8. <i>Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</i> 9. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 10. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 11. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 12. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 13. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 14. <i>National Housing Federation and others (484) Tetlow King Planning</i> 15. <i>Hallam Land Management Ltd (531) DPL Roland Bolton</i> 16. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 17. <i>Boyer Planning (459)</i> 18. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408)</i> 19. <i>Country Land & Business Association (1015)</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	2/4	<i>Housing market implications (continued)</i>	

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
EiP Week 2				
Tuesday 24 April Day 5				
	10.00 - 11.15	2/4	<i>Housing market implications (continued)</i>	
	11.45 – 13.00	2/4	<i>Housing market implications (continued)</i>	
		3	The Spatial Strategy	
			<i>Section 3</i> <i>Development Policy A Development at the Strategically Significant Cities and Towns (SSCTs)</i> <i>Table 3.1 Strategically Significant Towns</i> <i>Development Policy B Development at Market Towns</i> <i>Development Policy C Development in Small Towns and Villages</i> <i>Development Policy D Infrastructure for Development</i> <i>Development Policy H Re-using Land</i> <i>Map 3.1 Strategy Emphases</i> <i>South West Regional Spatial Strategy Key Diagram</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	3/1	Strategy approach - Areas In setting out the broad Spatial Strategy is the draft RSS sufficiently clear on the meaning of ‘balanced growth’ and in particular: a) Do the three broad strategy emphases adequately reflect the range of strategic issues in the region? and b) To what extent are these strategic emphases reflected in the	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 8. <i>Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</i>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			draft RSS policies? Should there be differences of approach to the rural area issues in the three broad areas?	9. Home Builders Federation (518) 10. Natural England (589) 11. Highways Agency (447) 12. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 13. Friends of the Earth (422) 14. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) 15. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) 16. Commercial Estates Group (479B) Nathaniel Lichfield 17. King Sturge LLP (694) 18. Country Land & Business Association (1015) 19. National Housing Federation and others (484) Tetlow King Planning 20. Sustainability South West (400) 21. Transport 2000 SW Network (549)
	15.45 - 17.00	3/1	<i>Strategy approach - Areas (continued)</i>	
Wednesday 25 April Day 6				
	10.00 - 11.15	3/2	<i>Strategy approach - SSCTs and other settlements</i> Is the balance between the identified SSCTs and other settlements appropriate and in particular: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Does the draft RSS adequately set out the planned roles of the SSCTs and other settlements? b) Is there a justification for the identification of additional SSCTs? c) Does Development Policy B provide adequate guidance on the wide range of towns within the region? d) Are the development implications of Policy C consistent with the wider strategy? and e) Is sufficient guidance provided on what is meant by local need? 	1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041) 5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041) 6. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042) 7. South West Strategic Authorities (WP) 1043) 8. Confederation of British Industry South West (379) 9. Home Builders Federation (518) 10. Natural England (589) 11. Environment Agency (409) 12. Highways Agency (447) 13. National Trust (515) 14. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 15. Friends of the Earth (422)

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
				<p>16. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</p> <p>17. Boyer Planning (459)</p> <p>18. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408)</p> <p>19. King Sturge LLP (694)</p> <p>20. SW Chamber of Rural Enterprise & SW Rural Affairs Forum (739)</p> <p>21. Country Land & Business Association (1015)</p>
	11.45 – 13.00	3/2	<i>Strategy approach - SSCTs and other settlements (continued)</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	3/3	<p><i>Strategy approach - Rural areas</i></p> <p>In setting out the broad strategic approach, does the draft RSS adequately deal with rural issues such as the pressures on agriculture due to CAP changes and the threats and opportunities related to other rural activities and in particular does the draft RSS adequately:</p> <p>a) Identify the range of issues pertaining to the rural areas?</p> <p>b) Reflect the spatial needs and opportunities? and</p> <p>c) Establish realistic proposals?</p>	<p>1. South West Regional Assembly (1040)</p> <p>2. Government Office for the South West (364)</p> <p>3. South West Regional Development Agency (378)</p> <p>4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</p> <p>5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</p> <p>6. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</p> <p>7. South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</p> <p>8. Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</p> <p>9. Home Builders Federation (518)</p> <p>10. Natural England (589)</p> <p>11. Environment Agency (409)</p> <p>12. Highways Agency (447)</p> <p>13. National Trust (515)</p> <p>14. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</p> <p>15. National Farmers Union (487)</p> <p>16. Crown Estates (582) Entec UK Ltd</p> <p>17. Commercial Estates Group (479B) Nathaniel Lichfield</p> <p>18. Charborough Estate (244) PRO Vision</p> <p>19. Reland (735) CgMs</p> <p>20. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</p> <p>21. SW Chamber of Rural Enterprise & SW Rural Affairs Forum (739)</p> <p>22. Country Land & Business Association (1015)</p> <p>23. North Devon District Council (388)</p>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	3/3	<i>Strategy approach - Rural areas (continued)</i>	
Thursday 26 April Day 7				
	10.00 - 11.15	3/4	<p><i>Reuse of previously developed land and buildings</i></p> <p>In setting out the approach to the re-use of previously developed land and buildings, does the draft RSS:</p> <p>a) Set a sufficiently challenging target for the re-use of brownfield land? and</p> <p>b) Adequately reflect the uneven distribution of such land within the region?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 8. <i>Business West (499)</i> 9. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 10. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 11. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 12. <i>English Heritage (491)</i> 13. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 14. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 15. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 16. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408)</i> 17. <i>Crown Estates (582) Entec UK Ltd</i> 18. <i>Commercial Estates Group (479B) Nathaniel Lichfield</i> 19. <i>Linden Homes (715) Lennon Planning</i> 20. <i>Defence Estates (721) GVA Grimley</i> 21. <i>English Partnerships (452)/ Swindon Urban Regeneration Company (537) New Swindon Company/ Camborne-Pool-Redruth Urban Regeneration Company (731) CPR Regeneration/ Gloucester Heritage Urban Regeneration Company (303)</i>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26	Times	Number	Matters	Potential Participants
9 Weeks 2007			<i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	
	11.45 – 13.00	3/4	<i>Reuse of previously developed land and buildings (continued)</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	3/5	<p><i>Guidance on the systematic approach to the selection of strategic greenfield development areas</i></p> <p>To what extent should the draft RSS set out a systematic approach to the selection of strategic greenfield development areas and in particular:</p> <p>a) To what extent do the 5 purposes of including land in Green Belts set out in paragraph 1.5 of PPG2 contribute to the area selection process? and</p> <p>b) Does the provision of a strategic 20 year land supply through the draft RSS meet the advice in PPG2 that consideration should be given to safeguarding land to ensure that Green Belt boundaries will not need to be altered at the end of the plan period?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) 1041)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 8. <i>Business West (499)</i> 9. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 10. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 11. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 12. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 13. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 14. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 15. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 16. <i>Boyer Planning (459)</i> 17. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408)</i> 18. <i>East Swindon Development Group (601) David Lock Associates</i> 19. <i>Hignett Family Trust (283)/Strategic Land Partnerships (474C) Baker Associates</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	3/5	<i>Guidance on the systematic approach to the selection of strategic greenfield development areas (continued)</i>	

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	Potential Participants
Friday 27 April Day 8				
		4	Sub-Regional Strategies	
			<p><i>Section 4 (Part)</i></p> <p>SR1 The North and Centre of the Region Inset Diagram 4.1 West of England SR2 Joint Planning for West of England SR3 Bristol and Bath Green Belt SR4 Bristol SR5 Bath SR6 Weston-super-Mare Figure 4.1 An illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at the West of England SR22 Chippenham SR23 Trowbridge Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</p>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/1	<p>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy</p> <p>West of England HMA covers the urban areas of Bristol, Bath and Weston-super-Mare and also the main centres of Chippenham and Trowbridge. Other towns referred to within the HMA include Bradford-on-Avon, Frome, Melksham, Warminster and Westbury.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified particularly in terms of the urban renewal opportunities in Bristol, Bath and Weston-super-Mare? Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of the lead-in times for larger developments on the required annual output for the HMA? Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets, including the Bath World Heritage Site, been adequately 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> South West Regional Assembly (1040) Government Office for the South West (364)/Greater Bristol Strategic Transport Study South West Regional Development Agency (378) Bath and North East Somerset District Council (393)/ West of England Partnership (524) Bristol City Council (517)/ West of England Partnership (524) North Somerset District Council (497)/West of England Partnership (524) South Gloucestershire District Council (376)/West of England Partnership (524) Wiltshire County Council (483)/West Wiltshire District Council (498) Somerset County Council (387)/ Mendip District Council (501) Business West (499) Environment Agency (409) Highways Agency (447) Transport 2000 SW Network (549)

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			<p>taken into account?</p> <p>d) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account?</p> <p>e) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion and in particular do they reflect the output from the Greater Bristol Strategic Transport Study?</p> <p>f) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? and</p> <p>g) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Bristol, Bath and Weston-super-Mare in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles?</p>	<p>14. Natural England (589)</p> <p>15. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</p> <p>16. Friends of the Earth (422)</p> <p>17. Bath Preservation Trust (670)</p> <p>18. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</p> <p>19. Heron Land Developments (467) Boyer Planning</p> <p>20. Shortwood Landowners Group/KMPG (717) Pegasus Planning Group</p> <p>21. Hignett Family Trust (283)/Strategic Land Partnerships (474C) Baker Associates</p> <p>22. Alliance Against South Bristol Ring Road (231) Preferred Representative</p> <p>23. Bristol International Airport (412)</p>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
EiP Week 3				
Tuesday 1 May Day 9				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	11.45 – 13.00	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
Wednesday 2 May Day 10				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	11.45 – 13.00	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	15.45 - 17.00	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
Thursday 3 May Day 11				
			<i>Section 4 (Continued)</i> <i>Inset Diagram 4.2 Swindon SR7 to SR9 Swindon Figure 4.2 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local</i>	

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			<i>Authority At Swindon Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</i>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/2	<p><i>Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>Swindon HMA covers Swindon. Other towns referred to within the Swindon HMA include Cirencester.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified particularly in terms of the urban renewal opportunities expected to be generated by the New Swindon Company? b) Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of the lead-in times for the large Eastern Extension Area on the required annual output for the HMA? c) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account? d) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account and in particular do the proposals reflect the problems of water supply and waste water treatment? e) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? f) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? and g) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Swindon in terms of its present and future regional and sub-regional roles? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>Swindon Borough Council (443)</i> 5. <i>North Wiltshire District Council (470)</i> 6. <i>Kennet District Council (165)</i> 7. <i>Wiltshire County Council (483)</i> 8. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 9. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 10. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 11. <i>Thames Water Utilities Ltd (547)</i> 12. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 13. <i>Swindon Urban Regeneration Company (537) New Swindon Company</i> 14. <i>Oxfordshire C.C (505)/Vale of White Horse District Council (148)</i> 15. <i>Cotswold District Council (413)</i> 16. <i>Wiltshire Wildlife Trust (655)</i> 17. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 18. <i>George Wimpey Strategic Land (461) Boyer Planning</i> 19. <i>East Swindon Development Group (601) David Lock Associates</i> 20. <i>Persimmon Homes, Redrow Homes, Taylor Woodrow Developments & Crest Strategic (573) DPDS</i> 21. <i>Northern Community Area Partnership (899) Mollie Groom</i> 22. <i>Maggie Brown (292)</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/2	<i>Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	4/2	<i>Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	15.45 - 17.00	4/2	<i>Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
Friday 4 May Day A				
	10.00 - 11.15		<i>Keep Free</i>	
	11.45 – 13.00		<i>Keep Free</i>	
EiP Panel not sitting early spring holiday week 19				
EiP Week 4				
Tuesday 15 May Day 12				
			<i>Section 4 (Continued)</i> <i>Inset Diagram 4.3 Gloucester and Cheltenham</i> <i>SR10 Joint Planning for Gloucester and Cheltenham</i> <i>SR11 Gloucester and Cheltenham Green Belt</i> <i>SR12 Gloucester</i> <i>SR13 Cheltenham</i> <i>SR14 Stroud, Tewkesbury and Forest of Dean Towns</i> <i>Figure 4.3 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at Gloucester and Cheltenham</i> <i>Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</i>	

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
	10.00 - 11.15	4/3	<p><i>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA covers Cheltenham and Gloucester. Other towns referred to within the HMA include Stroud, the Forest of Dean Towns (Coleford, Lydney and Cinderford) and Tewkesbury.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified particularly in terms of the urban renewal opportunities expected to be generated by the Gloucester Heritage URC Ltd.? b) Is the contribution from non-green belt extensions adequately justified and what is the status of 'commitments' referred to in SR11? c) Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of the lead-in times for the two large urban extension areas on the required annual output for the HMA? d) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account and in particular do the proposals reflect the need to avoid development in the flood plain? e) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? f) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? g) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? and h) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Gloucester and Cheltenham in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>Cheltenham Borough Council (326)</i> 5. <i>Gloucester City Council (226)</i> 6. <i>Tewksbury Borough Council (236)</i> 7. <i>Gloucestershire County Council (363)/Forest of Dean District Council (583)/Stroud District Council (516)/Cotswold District Council (413)</i> 8. <i>West Midlands Regional Assembly (178)</i> 9. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 10. <i>Environmental Agency (409)</i> 11. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 12. <i>Gloucester Heritage Urban Regeneration Company (303)/English Partnerships (452)</i> 13. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 14. <i>Barwood Land Ltd & Persimmon Homes, Winneycroft Farm Trustees & Others (465/466) Boyer Planning</i> 15. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 16. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408)</i> 17. <i>Robert Hitchins Ltd (557)</i> 18. <i>Hallam Land Management Ltd (531A) Savills</i> 19. <i>CgMs (1025/1026)</i> 20. <i>Ken Pollock (816)</i> 21. <i>Leckhampton Green Lane Action Group (240) Preferred Representative</i>

South West Regional Spatial Strategy Revision: Examination in Public (EiP)
 Provisional Programme: Proposed Timetable, Preliminary List of Matters and Participants, November 2006

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/3	<i>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/3	<i>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	15.45 - 17.00	4/3	<i>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
Wednesday 16 May Day 13				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/3	<i>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	11.45 – 13.00	4/3	<i>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/3	<i>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	15.45 - 17.00	4/3	<i>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
Thursday 17 May Day 14				
			<p><i>Section 4 (Continued)</i></p> <p><i>Inset Diagram 4.4 Exeter SR15 to SR 18 Exeter Figure 4.4 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at Exeter SR19 Newton Abbot Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</i></p>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/4	<p><i>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>Exeter HMA covers Exeter, Newton Abbot and also part of Dartmoor National Park. Other towns referred to within the Exeter HMA include Exmouth, Crediton, Dawlish, Tiverton, Honiton, and Ottery St Mary.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified particularly in terms of urban renewal opportunities? b) Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of the lead-in times for the Cranbrook New Community on the required annual output for the HMA? c) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account and in particular do the proposals reflect the need to avoid development in the flood plain? d) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? e) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? f) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>Exeter City Council (432)</i> 5. <i>East Devon District Council (389)</i> 6. <i>Teignbridge District Council (324)</i> 7. <i>Mid Devon District Council (147)</i> 8. <i>Torbay Council (821)</i> 9. <i>Devon County Council (223)</i> 10. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 11. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 12. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 13. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 14. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 15. <i>Barton Wilmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 16. <i>Persimmon Homes (South West Ltd) (464B) Boyer Planning</i> 17. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408)</i> 18. <i>East Devon New Community Partners (559) David Lock Associates</i> 19. <i>Midas Homes Ltd (625) Penrilla Consultants</i> 20. <i>Exeter & Devon Airport Ltd (504)</i> 21. <i>Dart (964) Savills</i> 22. <i>Lympstone Society (1006)</i>

South West Regional Spatial Strategy Revision: Examination in Public (EiP)
Provisional Programme: Proposed Timetable, Preliminary List of Matters and Participants, November 2006

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			provision of Green Infrastructure? and g) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Exeter and Newton Abbot in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles?	
	11.45 – 13.00	4/4	<i>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/4	<i>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	15.45 - 17.00	4/4	<i>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
Friday 18 May Day 15				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/4	<i>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	11.45 – 13.00	4/4	<i>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
EiP Week 5				
Tuesday 22 May Day 16				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/4	<i>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	11.45 –	4/4	<i>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
	13.00			
			<p>Section 4 (Continued)</p> <p><i>Inset Diagram 4.8 Torbay</i> <i>SR 36 to 37 Torbay</i> <i>Figure 4.8 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at Torbay</i> <i>Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</i></p>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/5	<p>Torbay Unitary Authority HMA sub-regional strategy</p> <p>Torbay Unitary Authority HMA covers Torbay (Torquay, Brixham and Paignton).</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified particularly in terms of urban renewal opportunities? b) Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of the lead-in times for larger development areas on the required annual output for the HMA? c) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account and in particular do the proposals reflect the need to avoid development in the flood plain? d) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? e) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? f) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? and g) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. Torbay Council (821) 5. Teignbridge District Council (324) 6. South Hams District Council (293) 7. Devon County Council (223) 8. Natural England (589) 9. Environment Agency (409) 10. Highways Agency (447) 11. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 12. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403) 13. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) 14. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) 15. Midas Homes Ltd (625) Penrilla Consultants

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	Potential Participants
			outcomes it is seeking in Torbay in terms of its present and future regional and sub-regional roles?	
	15.45 - 17.00	4/5	<i>Torbay Unitary Authority HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
Wednesday 23 May Day 17				
			<i>Section 4 (Continued)</i> <i>Inset Diagram 4.5 Taunton and Bridgwater SR20 to SR21 Taunton, Bridgwater and Wellington Figure 4.5 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at Taunton and Bridgwater Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</i>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/6	<p><i>Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>Taunton HMA covers Taunton, Bridgwater and also part of Exmoor National Park. Other towns referred to within the Taunton HMA include Wellington.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified particularly in terms of urban renewal opportunities? b) Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of the lead-in times for the large development area on the required annual output for the HMA? c) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account and in particular do the proposals reflect the impact of increased water levels in the Somerset Levels? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>Taunton Deane Borough Council (514)</i> 5. <i>Sedgemoor District Council (276)</i> 6. <i>West Somerset District Council (433)</i> 7. <i>Exmoor National Park Authority (385)</i> 8. <i>Somerset County Council (387)</i> 9. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 10. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 11. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 12. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 13. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 14. <i>Heron Land Developments & Persimmon Homes (South West) Ltd, Persimmon Homes & Redrow Homes (460/462) Boyer Planning</i> 15. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408)</i> 16. <i>Summerfield Development (SW) Ltd (652A/B) GVA Grimley/Turner Holden</i> 17. <i>David Yule (817)</i>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> d) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? e) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? f) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? and g) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Taunton and Bridgwater in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles? 	18. Tim Baker (949)
	11.45 – 13.00	4/6	<i>Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/6	<i>Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	15.45 - 17.00	4/6	<i>Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
Thursday 24 May Day 18				
			<p><i>Section 4 (Continued)</i></p> <p><i>SR25 South East of the Region</i> <i>Inset Diagram 4.6 South East Dorset</i> <i>SR26 Joint Planning for South East Dorset</i> <i>SR27 South East Dorset Green Belt</i> <i>SR28 Pattern of Development and the Environment</i> <i>SR29 South East Dorset</i> <i>Figure 4.5 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at South East Dorset</i> <i>Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</i></p>	

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
	10.00 - 11.15	4/7	<p><i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>Bournemouth and Poole HMA covers Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch. Other towns in the immediate hinterland comprising the South East Dorset Conurbation include Wimborne Minister, Colehill, Ferndown, Verwood, St. Leonard's West Moors and Wareham.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified particularly in terms of urban renewal opportunities? b) Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of the lead-in times for larger development areas on the required annual output for the HMA? c) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account and in particular do the proposals reflect the need to avoid any impact on the integrity of the important nature conservation areas around the conurbation? d) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? e) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? f) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? and g) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Bournemouth and Poole in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>Bournemouth Borough Council (375)</i> 5. <i>Borough of Poole Council (418)</i> 6. <i>Christchurch Borough Council (268)</i> 7. <i>East Dorset District Council (377)</i> 8. <i>Purbeck District Council (428)</i> 9. <i>Dorset County Council (420)/North Dorset District Council (421)/West Dorset District Council (369)</i> 10. <i>New Forest National Park Authority (155)</i> 11. <i>South East of England Regional Assembly (373)</i> 12. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 13. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 14. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 15. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 16. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 17. <i>Dorset Wildlife Trust (664)</i> 18. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408)</i> 19. <i>Bournemouth International Airport (480) Drivers Jonas</i> 20. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 21. <i>J S Bloor (Newbury) Ltd (576) Terence O'Rourke</i> 22. <i>Crest Strategic Projects Ltd (444) Savills</i> 23. <i>Corfe Mullen Parish Council (100)</i> 24. <i>West Parley Parish Council (291)</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	

South West Regional Spatial Strategy Revision: Examination in Public (EiP)
 Provisional Programme: Proposed Timetable, Preliminary List of Matters and Participants, November 2006

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	15.45 - 17.00	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
Friday 25 May Day 19				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	11.45 - 13.00	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
EiP Panel not sitting spring holiday week 22				
EiP Week 6				
Tuesday 5 June Day 20				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	11.45 - 13.00	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	15.45 -	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
	17.00			
Wednesday 6 June Day 21				
			<p><i>Section 4 (Continued)</i></p> <p><i>SR32 The Western Peninsula Inset Diagram 4.7 Plymouth, South East Cornwall and South West Devon SR33 to SR35 Plymouth, South East Cornwall and South West Devon Figure 4.7 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at Plymouth Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</i></p>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/8	<p><i>Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>Plymouth HMA covering Plymouth and also part of Dartmoor National Park. Other Towns referred to in the Plymouth HMA include Saltash and Torpoint.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified particularly in terms of urban renewal opportunities? b) Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of the lead-in times for the large development area of Sherford on the required annual output for the HMA? c) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account? d) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? e) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>Plymouth City Council (328)</i> 5. <i>South Hams District Council (293)</i> 6. <i>West Devon Borough Council (490)</i> 7. <i>Caradon District Council (144)</i> 8. <i>Dartmoor National Park Authority (520)</i> 9. <i>Devon County Council (223)</i> 10. <i>Cornwall County Council (362)</i> 11. <i>Plymouth Chamber of Commerce (624) Penrilla Consultants</i> 12. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 13. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 14. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 15. <i>English Partnerships (452)</i> 16. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 17. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 18. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 19. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 20. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408)</i> 21. <i>National Housing Federation and others (484) Tetlow</i>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			<p>minimise congestion?</p> <p>f) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? and</p> <p>g) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Plymouth in terms of its present and future regional and sub-regional roles?</p>	<p>King Planning 22. Saltash Gateway Market and Coastal Towns Initiative (634)</p>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/8	<i>Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/8	<i>Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	15.45 - 17.00	4/8	<i>Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
Thursday 7 June Day 22				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/8	<i>Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	11.45 – 13.00	4/8	<i>Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
			<p><i>Section 4 (Continued)</i></p> <p><i>Inset Diagram 4.9 Cornwall Towns</i> <i>SR38 The European Objective 1 Region</i> <i>SR39 to SR 41 Cornwall Towns – Cambourne-Pool-Redruth,</i></p>	

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			<p><i>Truro and Falmouth-Penryn</i> <i>SR42 The Isles of Scilly</i> <i>Figure 4.9 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at the Cornwall Towns</i> <i>Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</i></p>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/9	<p><i>West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>West Cornwall HMA covers Camborne-Pool-Redruth, Truro and Falmouth-Penryn and the Isles of Scilly. Other Towns include Penzance, St Austell Newquay, and Bodmin.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified particularly in terms of the urban renewal opportunities being generated in Camborne/Pool/Redruth by CPR Regeneration? b) Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of the lead-in times for the larger development areas on the required annual output for the HMA? c) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account? d) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? e) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? f) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? and g) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in CPR, Truro and Falmouth-Penryn in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>Cornwall County Council (362)</i> 5. <i>Kerrier District Council (194)</i> 6. <i>Carrick District Council (305)</i> 7. <i>Penwith District Council (591)</i> 8. <i>Restormel District Council (446)</i> 9. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 10. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 11. <i>English Partnerships (452)/Camborne-Pool-Redruth Urban Regeneration Company (731) CPR Regeneration</i> 12. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 13. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 14. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 15. <i>Boyer Planning (459)</i> 16. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 17. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408)</i> 18. <i>Commercial Estates Group (479A) Broadway Malvan</i> 19. <i>Persimmon Homes and others (592/593A) Turner Holden</i> 20. <i>Wainhomes (South West) Holdings Ltd (642) Emery Planning Partnership</i> 21. <i>CoSERG (813)</i>
	15.45 -	4/9	<i>West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
	17.00			
Friday 8 June Day 23				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/9	<i>West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
	11.45 – 13.00	4/9	<i>West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
EiP Week 7				
Tuesday 12 June Day 24				
			<i>Section 4 (Continued)</i> <i>SR32 The Western Peninsula SR43 Barnstaple and Bideford Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</i>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/10	<i>Polycentric Devon and Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy</i> Polycentric Devon and Cornwall HMA covers Barnstaple and also part of Exmoor National Park. Other towns include Bideford/Northam. In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions: a) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified particularly in terms of urban renewal opportunities? b) Has sufficient consideration been given to the distribution of development across the HMA?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>Devon County Council (223)</i> 5. <i>Cornwall County Council (362)</i> 6. <i>North Devon District Council (388)</i> 7. <i>Torrige District Council (414)</i> 8. <i>North Cornwall District Council (451)</i> 9. <i>Exmoor National Park Authority (385)</i> 10. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 11. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 12. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 13. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 14. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26	Times	Number	Matters	Potential Participants
9 Weeks 2007			<i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> c) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account? d) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? e) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? f) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? and g) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Barnstaple and Bideford in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 15. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 16. <i>Southwold Investments Ltd (463) Boyer Planning</i> 17. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 18. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408)</i> 19. <i>Persimmon Homes and others (592/593A) Turner Holden</i> 20. <i>Baker Property Services Ltd (476) Foot Anstey Planning</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/10	<i>Polycentric Devon and Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
			<i>Section 4 (Continued)</i> <i>SR 30 Salisbury</i> <i>Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/11	<p><i>Salisbury District HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>Salisbury District HMA covers Salisbury.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified particularly in terms of urban renewal opportunities? b) Has sufficient consideration been given to the distribution of development within the HMA? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>Salisbury District Council (598)</i> 5. <i>East Dorset District Council (377)</i> 6. <i>North Dorset District Council (421)</i> 7. <i>Wiltshire County Council (483)</i> 8. <i>Dorset County Council (420)</i> 9. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 10. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 11. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 12. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> c) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account? d) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? e) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? f) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? and g) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Salisbury in terms of its present and future regional and sub-regional roles? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 13. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 14. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 15. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 16. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408)</i> 17. <i>Blanefield Property Company (567) DPDS</i> 18. <i>Porton Bioscience and Technology Centre (758) DPDS</i> 19. <i>Amesbury Property Co (926) Pegasus Planning Group</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/11	<i>Salisbury District HMA sub-regional Strategy (continued)</i>	
Wednesday 13 June Day 25				
			<i>Section 4 (Continued)</i> <i>SR 31 Dorchester and Weymouth Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</i>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/12	<p><i>Weymouth and Dorchester HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>Weymouth and Dorchester HMA covers Dorchester and Weymouth.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified particularly in terms of urban renewal opportunities? b) Has sufficient consideration been given to the distribution 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>Dorset County Council (420)</i> 5. <i>East Dorset District Council (377)</i> 6. <i>Purbeck District Council (428)</i> 7. <i>North Dorset District Council (421)</i> 8. <i>West Dorset District Council (369)</i> 9. <i>Weymouth & Portland Borough Council (381)</i> 10. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 11. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			<p>of development within the HMA?</p> <p>c) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account?</p> <p>d) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account?</p> <p>e) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion?</p> <p>f) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? and</p> <p>g) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Weymouth and Dorchester in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles?</p>	<p>12. Highways Agency (447)</p> <p>13. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</p> <p>14. Friends of the Earth (422)</p> <p>15. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</p> <p>16. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</p> <p>17. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408)</p> <p>18. Stone Firms Ltd (706) Terence O'Rourke Ltd</p>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/12	<i>Weymouth and Dorchester HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
			<p>Section 4 (Continued)</p> <p>SR 24 Yeovil Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</p>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/13	<p>South Somerset District HMA sub-regional strategy</p> <p>South Somerset District HMA covers Yeovil. Other Towns include Sherborne.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <p>a) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified particularly in terms of urban renewal opportunities?</p> <p>b) Has sufficient consideration been given to the relationship</p>	<p>1. South West Regional Assembly (1040)</p> <p>2. Government Office for the South West (364)</p> <p>3. South West Regional Development Agency (378)</p> <p>4. Taunton Deane Borough Council (514)</p> <p>5. North Dorset District Council (421)</p> <p>6. West Dorset District Council (369)</p> <p>7. East Devon District Council (389)</p> <p>8. Somerset County Council (387)</p> <p>9. Dorset County Council (420)</p> <p>10. Natural England (589)</p> <p>11. Highways Agency (447)</p> <p>12. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</p>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			with development at Sherborne? c) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account? d) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? e) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? f) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? and g) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Yeovil in terms of its present and future regional and sub-regional roles?	13. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 14. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 15. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 16. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408)</i> 17. <i>Redrow Homes (595) Turner Holden</i> 18. <i>Sherborne Area Partnership (811)</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/13	<i>South Somerset District HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	
Thursday 14 June Day 26				
			<i>Section 4 (Continued)</i> <i>Map 4.1 RSS Studies for Sub-Regional Planning</i> <i>Map 4.2 South West Housing Market Areas</i> <i>Table 4.1 Housing market Areas, Unitary Authorities and Districts: Housing Totals and Phasing</i> <i>Table 4.2 Strategically Significant Cities and Towns: Housing Totals</i> <i>HD1 Sub-regional Distribution of Housing 2006-2026</i> <i>HD2 Phasing of Housing Development and Ensuring Land Supply</i>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/14	<i>Reconciling overall demand and sub regional capacity</i> Does the draft RSS adequately explain the reasons why development	1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			is being distributed in the amounts proposed in Tables 4.1 and 4.2 and reconcile evidence on capacity with evidence on demand and in particular: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) How should local needs be reflected in the distribution of additional housing? b) What consideration should be given to past building rates? c) How should District level employment growth be reflected in the distribution of additional housing? d) What are the appropriate responses to environmental limits? and e) What consideration should be given to phasing of development? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 8. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 9. <i>National Housing Federation and others (484) Tetlow King Planning</i> 10. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 11. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 12. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 13. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 14. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 15. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 16. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 17. <i>Boyer Planning (459)</i> 18. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408)</i> 19. <i>Hallam Land Management Ltd (531) DPL Roland Bolton</i> 20. <i>Country Land & Business Association (1015)</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/14	<i>Reconciling overall demand and sub regional capacity (continued)</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/14	<i>Reconciling overall demand and sub regional capacity (continued)</i>	
	15.45 - 17.00	4/14	<i>Reconciling overall demand and sub regional capacity (continued)</i>	
Friday 15 June Day B				

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
	10.00 - 11.15		<i>Keep Free</i>	
	11.45 – 13.00		<i>Keep Free</i>	
EiP Week 8				
Tuesday 19 June				
Day 27				
		5	Transport/Regional Transport Strategy	
			<p><i>Section 5</i></p> <p><i>Map 5.1 South West Regional Transport Network</i></p> <p><i>TR1 Demand Management1 and Public Transport in the SSCTs</i></p> <p><i>TR2 The M4 and M5</i></p> <p><i>TR3 Second Strategic Route</i></p> <p><i>TR4 Remainder of the Trunk Road Network</i></p> <p><i>TR5 Inter-regional Rail Network</i></p> <p><i>TR6 Inter-regional Bus and Coach Network</i></p> <p><i>TR7 Ports</i></p> <p><i>TR8 Bristol Port</i></p> <p><i>TR9 Airports</i></p> <p><i>TR10 Regional Connectivity</i></p> <p><i>TR11 Intra-regional Public Transport</i></p> <p><i>TR12 Regional Freight Map</i></p> <p><i>Map 5.2 Regional Freight Map</i></p> <p><i>TR13 Rail Freight Interchange Facilities</i></p> <p><i>Implementation Plan Appendix 3 Regional Funding Allocation Schemes: The Region's Submitted Advice to Government</i></p>	
	10.00 - 11.15	5/1	<p><i>Management of the transport network</i></p> <p>Does the draft RSS deal adequately with the future movement needs</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)/DfT</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			<p>of the region and in particular;</p> <p>a) Has the draft RSS adequately demonstrated that the policies and proposals are based on a sound assessment of demand for transport?</p> <p>b) Is the draft RSS/RTS ambitious enough in seeking to reduce the growth of travel or should it seek to reduce the overall levels of travel by car? and</p> <p>c) What other demand management could be considered?</p>	<p>4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i></p> <p>5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i></p> <p>6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i></p> <p>7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) 1043)</i></p> <p>8. <i>Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</i></p> <p>9. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i></p> <p>10. <i>Natural England (589)</i></p> <p>11. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i></p> <p>12. <i>Network Rail (401)</i></p> <p>13. <i>Transport 2000 SW Network (549)</i></p> <p>14. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i></p> <p>15. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i></p> <p>16. <i>SW Regional Airport Operators (410) Entec UK Ltd</i></p> <p>17. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i></p> <p>18. <i>Alliance Against South Bristol Ring Road (231) Preferred Representative</i></p> <p>19. <i>Friends of Suburban Bristol Railways (935)</i></p>
	11.45 – 13.00	5/1	<i>Management of the transport network (continued)</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	5/2	<p><i>Improvements to the transport network</i></p> <p>Are the proposed improvements to the transport network adequately justified and in particular:</p> <p>a) Does the draft RSS adequately set out spatially specific transport policies that support the sub-regional strategies?</p> <p>b) Is the balance between connectivity and ‘making urban areas work’ sufficiently clear?</p> <p>c) Does the draft RSS adequately demonstrate that the transport priorities and phasing proposals adequately reflect their contribution to the wider spatial strategy and deliverability?</p> <p>d) Are the implications of air traffic growth adequately</p>	<p>1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i></p> <p>2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)/DfT</i></p> <p>3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i></p> <p>4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i></p> <p>5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i></p> <p>6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i></p> <p>7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) 1043)</i></p> <p>8. <i>Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</i></p> <p>9. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i></p> <p>10. <i>Natural England (589)</i></p> <p>11. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i></p> <p>12. <i>Network Rail (401)</i></p> <p>13. <i>Transport 2000 SW Network (549)</i></p> <p>14. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i></p>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			considered? and e) To what extent are the draft RSS proposals consistent with the Regional Funding Allocation (RFA) transport priorities?	15. Friends of the Earth (422) 16. SW Regional Airport Operators (410) Entec UK Ltd 17. Bristol Port Company (485) 18. Friends of Suburban Bristol Railways (935) 19. Alliance Against South Bristol Ring Road (231) Preferred Representative 20. Westbury Bypass Alliance (289) Preferred Representative
	15.45 - 17.00	5/2	<i>Improvements to the transport network (continued)</i>	
Wednesday 20 June Day 28				
	10.00 - 11.15	5/2	<i>Improvements to the transport network (continued)</i>	
	11.45 – 13.00	5/2	<i>Improvements to the transport network (continued)</i>	
		6	Housing	
			<i>Section 6</i> <i>Map 6.1 House Price to Income Ratio</i> <i>H1 Affordable Housing</i> <i>H2 Housing Densities</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	6/1	<i>Affordable housing</i> In setting out policies on affordable housing does the draft RSS give	1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378)

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			<p>adequate consideration to the likely composition of future households and in particular</p> <p>a) Does the draft RSS adequately justify the target of 7500 additional affordable houses per year?</p> <p>b) Does the draft RSS adequately deal with the variations in affordable housing circumstances across the region and is there scope for sub-regional targets? and</p> <p>c) Does the draft RSS set out an adequate approach to rural housing and rural affordable housing?</p>	<p>4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i></p> <p>5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i></p> <p>6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i></p> <p>7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i></p> <p>8. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i></p> <p>9. <i>Natural England (589)</i></p> <p>10. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i></p> <p>11. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i></p> <p>12. <i>British Holiday & Home Parks Association Ltd (658) HLL Humberts Leisure</i></p> <p>13. <i>Reland (735) CgMs</i></p> <p>14. <i>Shelter (775)</i></p> <p>15. <i>Cotswold Conservation Board (880)</i></p> <p>16. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i></p> <p>17. <i>National Housing Federation and others (484) Tetlow King Planning</i></p> <p>18. <i>Housing Corporation (407)</i></p> <p>19. <i>CoSERG (813)</i></p>
	15.45 - 17.00	6/1	<i>Affordable housing (continued)</i>	
Thursday 21 June Day 29				
	10.00 - 11.15	6/2	<p><i>Housing density</i></p> <p>In setting out the policies on housing density does the draft RSS give adequate consideration to the likely composition of future households and in particular:</p> <p>a) Do the draft RSS housing density proposals adequately reflect the range of circumstances across the region and is there scope for sub-regional targets? and</p> <p>b) Does the draft RSS provide sufficient guidance to ensure mixed and balanced communities?</p>	<p>1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i></p> <p>2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i></p> <p>3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i></p> <p>4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i></p> <p>5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i></p> <p>6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i></p> <p>7. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i></p> <p>8. <i>Natural England (589)</i></p> <p>9. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i></p> <p>10. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i></p> <p>11. <i>National Housing Federation and others (484) Tetlow</i></p>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
				King Planning 12. Housing Corporation (407) 13. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) 14. East Swindon Development Group (601) David Lock Associates 15. Redrow Homes (SW) Ltd (769) Hunter Page Planning 16. Swan Hill Homes (697) Charles Planning Consultants
	11.45 – 13.00	6/2	<i>Housing density (continued)</i>	
		7	The Environment and Resource Management	
			Section 7 CO1 Defining the Coastal Zone CO2 Coastal Planning Map 7.4 Flood Plain Map 7.5 Sea Level Rise and Coastal Flood Risk F1 Flood Risk Map 7.6 Indicative Breakdown of Renewable Electricity: 2010 Targets RE1 Renewable Electricity Targets: 2010 and 2020 RE2 Coastal Zones and Offshore Energy RE3 Renewable Heat Targets RE4 Meeting the Targets Through Development of New Resources RE5 Renewable Energy and New Development W1 Provision of Waste Sites Appendix 2 Sub Regional Allocations for Waste Capacity W2 Waste Facilities and the Waste Hierarchy	

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			<p><i>W3 Hazardous Waste</i> <i>W4 Controlling, Reusing and Recycling Waste in Development</i></p>	
	14.00 - 15.15	7/1	<p><i>Flood risk</i></p> <p>In setting out policies on Flood Risk does the draft RSS set out an adequate approach to flood risk assessment of major development options and in particular:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">a) Does the draft RSS provide sufficient guidance to DPDs for addressing flood risk priorities? and</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">b) Should the draft RSS identify strategic areas in need of flood protection and those areas of the region where managed retreat would be acceptable?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) 1042)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 8. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 9. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 10. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 11. <i>National Trust (515)</i> 12. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 13. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 14. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 15. <i>Commercial Estates Group (479B) Nathaniel Lichfield</i> 16. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 17. <i>Bourne Leisure (621) Nathaniel Lichfield</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	7/1	<i>Flood risk (continued)</i>	
Friday 22 June Day 30				
	10.00 - 11.15	7/2	<p><i>Energy & renewable targets</i></p> <p>In setting out policies for Renewable Energy does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance and in particular:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">a) Does the draft RSS properly reflect the requirements of PPS22 in terms of the setting of targets for renewable</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 8. <i>Natural England (589)</i>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	Potential Participants
			<p>energy capacity?</p> <p>b) Does it provide a justification for linking renewable energy targets with targets for energy efficiency?</p> <p>c) Is there clear guidance for stakeholders as to what the target for renewable energy capacity in 2020 is? and</p> <p>d) Is the promise of SPD documents an adequate response to paragraph 7 of PPS22, which proposes the development of policies in the RSS to identify broad areas where development of particular types of renewable energy may be considered appropriate?</p> <p>e) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear on the re-use and recycling of demolition and construction wastes?</p>	<p>9. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i></p> <p>10. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i></p> <p>11. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i></p> <p>12. <i>Earth Energy Ltd (482)</i></p> <p>13. <i>North Wessex Downs ANOB (635)</i></p> <p>14. <i>Devon Energy Efficiency Advice Centre (645) Fiona Swain</i></p> <p>15. <i>West Coast Energy (227)</i></p> <p>16. <i>npower Renewables (241)</i></p> <p>17. <i>Westcountry Energy Action (991) Kate Dooley</i></p> <p>18. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i></p> <p>19. <i>Exmoor National Park Authority (385)</i></p>
	11.45 – 13.00	7/2	<i>Energy & renewable targets (continued)</i>	
EiP Week 9				
Tuesday 26 June Day 31				
	10.00 - 11.15	7/3	<p>Waste management</p> <p>Does the draft RSS set out an adequate approach to Waste Management and in particular:</p> <p>a) Does the draft RSS adequately set out the basis for the apportionment set out in Appendix 2?</p> <p>b) Does the proximity principle have a particular relevance in the South West?</p> <p>c) Does the draft RSS properly comply with the requirement of PPS10 to identify the need and broad locations for additional waste management capacity of regional or sub-regional significance? and</p> <p>d) Is the guidance for planning for hazardous waste facilities adequate?</p>	<p>1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i></p> <p>2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i></p> <p>3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i></p> <p>4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i></p> <p>5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i></p> <p>6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i></p> <p>7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i></p> <p>8. <i>Wiltshire County Council (483)</i></p> <p>9. <i>Natural England (589)</i></p> <p>10. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i></p> <p>11. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i></p> <p>12. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i></p> <p>13. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i></p> <p>14. <i>Biffa Waste Services (508)</i></p> <p>15. <i>Sita UK Ltd (795) Terence O'Rourke</i></p>

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			e) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear on the re-use and recycling of demolition and construction wastes?	16. SWARD (914) 17. Federation of Small Businesses (766)
	11.45 – 13.00	7/3	Waste management (continued)	
		8	Economy and Employment	
			Section 8 <i>Map 8.1 Economic Activity Zones</i> <i>E1 Assessing Employment Land Provision</i> <i>E2 Identification of Employment Sites</i> <i>E3 Review of Employment Sites</i> <i>E4 Redevelopment of Employment Sites</i> <i>E5 Waterside Employment Sites</i> <i>TC1 City and Town Centres</i> <i>TO1 Sustainable Tourism</i> <i>TO2 Safeguarding and Investing in Tourism Destinations</i> <i>TO3 Major New High Quality, High Profile Attractions</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	8/1	Employment development requirements In setting out the approach to accommodating economic growth, does the draft RSS provide sufficient policy guidance for the places that are expected to accommodate the most significant economic change and in particular: a) Does the draft RSS adequately deal with the provision of sustainable sites and premises for business growth? b) To what extent should an assessment of employment land requirements be provided on a TTWA or District basis? c) Does the draft RSS adequately deal with the competition between employment and other uses? and d) Does the draft RSS provide sufficient guidance on tourist development?	1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041) 5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041) 6. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042) 7. South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043) 8. Confederation of British Industry South West (379) 9. Highways Agency (447) 10. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 11. Friends of the Earth (422) 12. Bristol Port Company (485) 13. Bournemouth International Airport (480) Drivers Jonas 14. Summerfield Development (SW) Ltd (652) GVA Grimley 15. South Coast Land Society and others (662) Goadsby Town Planning

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
				16. Ashfield Land Ltd (672) CSJ Planning 17. Rok Development (622) Penrilla Consultants 18. Arlington Property Developments (317) Scott Brownrigg 19. St Modwen Developments Ltd (674) Turley Associates 20. Site Developments (Ferndown) Ltd (693) Goadsby Town Planning 21. King Sturge LLP (694)
	15.45 - 17.00	8/1	<i>Employment development requirements (continued)</i>	
Wednesday 27 June Day 32				
	10.00 - 11.15	8/1	<i>Employment development requirements (continued)</i>	
	11.45 – 13.00	8/1	<i>Employment development requirements (continued)</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	8/2	<i>Retailing and city and town centres</i> Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the role and function of city and town centres within the region and in particular: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Does the draft RSS adequately set out policies for the role of centres within the region in terms of the functions set out in PPS6? b) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear on the appropriate locations for office development? c) Does the draft RSS provide adequate guidance on the 	1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041) 5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041) 6. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042) 7. South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043) 8. Highways Agency (447) 9. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 10. Friends of the Earth (422) 11. Summerfield Development (SW) Ltd (652) GVA Grimley

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			<p>accommodation of the anticipated scale of development for city and town centres? and</p> <p>d) Is the guidance on 'out-of-town provision' in the draft RSS sufficiently clear, particularly in respect of the Cribbs Causeway retail development?</p>	<p>12. Decathlon UK Ltd (666) Pegasus Planning Group 13. Grosvenor Ltd (691) Crushman & Wakefield 14. Wm Morrison Supermarkets Plc (779) Peacock & Smith 15. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</p>
	15.45 - 17.00	8/2	<i>Retailing and city and town centres (continued)</i>	
Thursday 28 June				
Day 33				
		9	Implementation and Monitoring	
			<i>Implementation Plan</i>	
			<i>Management of Change and Better Delivery</i> <i>A Framework for Implementation</i>	
	10.00 - 11.15	9/1	<p><i>Delivery and infrastructure</i></p> <p>Does the draft RSS adequately deal with the issues arising from the implementation of the strategy, particularly:</p> <p>a) Is the private sector capable of responding to the increased level of development implied by the technical forecasts?</p> <p>b) Does the draft RSS set out an adequate assessment of what is required in terms of infrastructure to deliver the proposed growth, particularly in the Joint Study Areas?</p> <p>c) To what extent can major urban extensions be expected to fund the infrastructure implications of the development?</p> <p>d) What role might the New Growth Points Fund from the Government play in funding the required infrastructure?</p> <p>e) What contribution can be expected from the regional and local funding mechanisms identified in the implementation plan? and</p> <p>f) Does the Regional Funding Allocation (RFA) process</p>	<p>1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041) 5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) 1041) 6. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042) 7. South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1042) 8. Home Builders Federation (518) 9. Natural England (589) 10. Environment Agency (409) 11. Highways Agency (447) 12. Network Rail (401) 13. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 14. Friends of the Earth (422) 15. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) 16. National Housing Federation and others (484) Tetlow King Planning 17. A.D. England (939)</p>

South West Regional Spatial Strategy Revision: Examination in Public (EiP)
 Provisional Programme: Proposed Timetable, Preliminary List of Matters and Participants, November 2006

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			provide a guide to future resource availability?	
	11.45 – 13.00	9/1	<i>Delivery and infrastructure (continued)</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	9/1	<i>Delivery and infrastructure (continued)</i>	
	15.45 - 17.00	9/1	<i>Delivery and infrastructure (continued)</i>	
Friday 29 June Day 34				
	10.00 - 11.15	9/2	<i>Implementation and monitoring</i> Does the RSS adequately address the arrangements for monitoring?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly 1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 8. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 9. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 10. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 11. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 12. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 13. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 14. <i>Royal Town Planning Institute (382)</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	9/2	<i>Implementation and monitoring (continued)</i>	

South West Regional Spatial Strategy Revision: Examination in Public (EiP)
 Provisional Programme: Proposed Timetable, Preliminary List of Matters and Participants, November 2006

Proposed Timetable		Preliminary List of Matters		Preliminary List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 26 9 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matters <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Potential Participants</i>
			Chairman's Closing Statement Close of EiP	